

REPRESENTATION THEORY OF GEOMETRIC EXTENSION ALGEBRAS

PETER J MCNAMARA

ABSTRACT. We study the question of when geometric extension algebras are polynomial quasihereditary. Our main theorem is that under certain assumptions, a geometric extension algebra is polynomial quasihereditary if and only if it arises from an even resolution. We give an application to the construction of reflection functors for quiver Hecke algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Polynomial quasihereditary algebras	2
3. Geometric setup	3
4. Evenness implies affine highest weight	5
5. The reverse implication	7
6. Application to quiver Hecke algebras	8
7. Reflection functors	13
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is about the study of algebras which appear geometrically in the form

$$A(X, f) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D_G^b(X)}^\bullet(f_*k_Y, f_*k_Y)$$

where $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper G -equivariant morphism of complex algebraic varieties with Y smooth. Such algebras have a history of appearing in Lie-theoretic representation theory, dating back to Lusztig's work on cuspidal local systems and graded Hecke algebras [L1]. For our applications, the most important examples are the quiver Hecke algebras (also known as KLR algebras) of finite ADE type.

This paper is heavily influenced by the ideas of Kato in his papers [K1, K2], where such algebras were studied in the case where $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Kato proved that certain such extension algebras are polynomial quasihereditary, and studied some consequences of this fact.

We work over a general ground field in a deliberate attempt for this work to be relevant for modular representation theory. This requires us to replace the role of purity arguments from Kato's work with arguments based on the notion of evenness.

Date: February 12, 2018.

Our main theorems are Theorems 4.7 and 5.1. Theorem 4.7 states that under conditions $(\spadesuit)_1$, $(\spadesuit)_2$ and (\heartsuit) (to be introduced in 3), a geometric extension algebra for an even morphism f is polynomial quasihereditary. Moreover, there is a converse, which is Theorem 5.1: If $A(X, f)$ is concentrated in even degrees and is polynomial quasihereditary, then f is even.

After proving our main theorem, we turn our attention to an application to the theory of quiver Hecke algebras. The fact that finite type quiver Hecke algebras are polynomial quasihereditary is essentially due to [BKM], we give a complete proof in Theorem 6.12. As a corollary, we deduce the fact that the corresponding morphism f is even. This allows us access to the machinery of parity sheaves in studying the representation theory of these quiver Hecke algebras. We utilise this machinery to generalise Kato's construction of reflection functors categorifying the braid group action on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

We thank K. Coulembier, P. Shan, T. Braden, C. Mautner and S. Makisumi for useful conversations.

2. POLYNOMIAL QUASIHEREDITARY ALGEBRAS

In this section, we collect various facts about polynomial quasihereditary algebras which we will need. The main reference is [KL].

A \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ is *Laurentian* if A_n is finite dimensional for all n , and there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_n = 0$ for $n < N$. When considering modules over a graded algebra, the assumption which we will always make in this paper is that all modules we consider are always graded modules.

Let A be a Noetherian Laurentian graded unital algebra. Then A has a finite number of simple modules up to isomorphism and grading shift, all of which are finite dimensional. Let Π be an indexing set for this set of simples. For each $\pi \in \Pi$, let $L(\pi)$ be a choice of the corresponding simple and let $P(\pi)$ be its projective cover.

Definition 2.1. *A two sided ideal $J \subset A$ is called polynomial heredity if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (SI1) $\text{Hom}_A(J, A/J) = 0$;
- (SI2) *As a left module, $J \cong P(\pi)^{\oplus m}$ for some $\pi \in \Pi$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and setting $B(\pi) := \text{End}_A(P(\pi))^{\text{op}}$, we have $B(\pi)$ a graded polynomial ring.*
- (PSI) *As a right $B(\pi)$ -module, $P(\pi)$ is finitely generated and free.*

Since $B(\pi)$ is assumed to be a polynomial ring, condition (PSI) is equivalent to $P(\pi)$ being finitely generated and flat as a right $B(\pi)$ -module. It is this latter condition which appears in [KL, Definition 6.1].

Definition 2.2. *The algebra A is called polynomial quasihereditary if there exists a finite chain of two-sided ideals $A = J_0 \supseteq J_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq J_n = (0)$ such that J_i/J_{i+1} is a polynomial heredity ideal in A/J_{i+1} for all $0 \leq i < n$.*

Let $<$ be a partial order on Π . For each $\pi \in \Pi$, let Σ_π be the full subcategory of A -modules whose Jordan-Holder constituents are all of the form $q^n L(\sigma)$ where $\sigma \succeq \pi$. For each $\pi \in \Pi$, let $\Delta(\pi)$ be the projective cover of $L(\pi)$ in Σ_π .

Definition 2.3. *The category $A\text{-mod}$ is polynomial highest weight if the following conditions are satisfied*

- (SC1) $P(\pi)$ has a filtration $0 = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \cdots \subset P_n = P(\pi)$ with $P_n/P_{n-1} \cong \Delta(\pi)$ and for $1 \leq i < n$, $P_i/P_{i-1} \cong q^N \Delta(\sigma)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \prec \pi$.
- (SC2) $B(\pi) := \text{End}_A(\Delta(\pi))^{\text{op}}$ is a polynomial algebra.
- (HWC) $\Delta(\pi)$ is a finitely generated free right $B(\pi)$ -module.

In [Kl], one will see in place of (HWC) the condition that $\text{Hom}(P(\sigma), \Delta(\pi))$ is a free right $B(\pi)$ -module of finite rank. This follows from (HWC) since $\text{Hom}(P(\sigma), \Delta(\pi))$ is a direct summand of $\text{Hom}(A, \Delta(\pi)) \cong \Delta(\pi)$. Therefore under (HWC), $\text{Hom}(P(\sigma), \Delta(\pi))$ is a summand of a finite free $B(\pi)$ -module, hence is finite and free since $B(\pi)$ is a polynomial algebra.

Kleshchev proves the following result, which in the finite dimensional setting is the classical result of Cline, Parshall and Scott [CPS, Theorem 3.6].

Theorem 2.4. [Kl, Theorem 6.7] *The algebra A is polynomial quasihereditary if and only if the category $A\text{-mod}$ is polynomial highest weight.*

3. GEOMETRIC SETUP

Let X be a complex algebraic variety with an action of an algebraic group G . For each $x \in X$, let G_x be the stabiliser of x . Let k be a field. We assume the following conditions:

- (\spadesuit)₁ G acts on X with finitely many orbits.
- (\spadesuit)₂ For all $x \in X$ and odd i , G_x is connected and $H_{G_x}^i(\text{pt}; k) = 0$.

Condition (\spadesuit)₂ always holds when G_x is a connected affine algebraic group whose quotient by its unipotent radical is a product of groups $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. In general, if G_x is a connected affine algebraic group, (\spadesuit)₂ holds whenever $\text{char}(k)$ is outside a small set of known primes, called torsion primes for G_x .

We spend most of our time working inside the G -equivariant derived category $D_G(X; k)$ of constructible k -sheaves on X , whose definition and basic properties can be found in [BL]. For any objects \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , we write

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{D_G(X; k)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}[d])$$

which is considered as a graded vector space in the obvious way.

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper G -equivariant morphism, where Y is a smooth complex algebraic variety. Let $\mathcal{L} = f_* k_Y \in D_G^b(X)$. We will study the representation theory of the graded algebra

$$A(X, f) := \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}).$$

We only consider $A(X, f)$ as an associative algebra in this paper, we do not care whether it arises from a formal A_∞ -algebra. If k has characteristic zero, these algebras will often be formal at least in cases of representation-theoretic interest (for an example, see [We, Lemma 4.7]).

We make one more assumption.

- (\heartsuit) Taking the closure of the support induces a bijection between indecomposable direct summands of $f_* k_Y$ and the set of G -orbits on X . The restriction of each indecomposable summand to the corresponding orbit is the constant sheaf on the orbit.

Let Π be a set indexing the orbits. We write \mathcal{O}_π for the orbit corresponding to $\pi \in \Pi$ and \mathcal{P}_π for the corresponding indecomposable summand of \mathcal{L} . Conversely, given an orbit Z , we write π_Z for the corresponding element of Π . Furthermore, define

$$P(\pi) = \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}_\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad L(\pi) = \text{hd } P(\pi).$$

Theorem 3.1. *The modules $L(\pi)$ comprise a complete set of irreducible modules for $A(X, f)$, up to grading shift. The projective cover of $L(\pi)$ is $P(\pi)$.*

Proof. The category $D_G^b(X; k)$ is Karoubian and Krull-Schmidt. Therefore the opposite category of the additive envelope of \mathcal{L} is equivalent to the category of projective $A(X, f)$ -modules. The additive envelope of \mathcal{L} is the smallest full subcategory containing \mathcal{L} that is closed under shifts, direct sums and direct summands. This equivalence is given by $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, -)$, so we see that the $P(\pi)$ are the indecomposable projectives, proving the theorem. \square

Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_G^b(X; k)$. \mathcal{F} is said to be $*$ -even if $H^j(i_x^* \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all odd j and all inclusions $i_x : \{x\} \rightarrow X$ of a point into X . \mathcal{F} is said to be $!$ -even if $H^j(i_x^! \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all odd j and all inclusions $i_x : \{x\} \rightarrow X$ of a point into X . \mathcal{F} is said to be even if it is both $*$ -even and $!$ -even. For $? \in \{*, !, \emptyset\}$, \mathcal{F} is $?$ -parity if $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{F}''[1]$ with \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F}'' $?$ -even.

For each $x \in X$, let Y_x be the fibre $f^{-1}(x)$. We shall require the following notions of evenness, which is primarily useful for proper morphisms.

Definition 3.2. *The morphism f is even if $H_i(Y_x; k) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and odd integers i .*

A \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} A_d$ is said to be even if $A_d = 0$ for all odd d .

Lemma 3.3. *If f is even, then $A(X, f)$ is even.*

Proof. By [JMW, Proposition 2.34], $\mathcal{L} = f_* k_Y$ is even. By [JMW, Proposition 2.6], this implies that $A(X, \pi)$ is even. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be an even object in $D_H^b(\text{pt}; k)$ where H is a connected algebraic group with $H_H^*(\text{pt}; k)$ concentrated in even degrees. Then*

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \bigoplus_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}} k[d]^{\oplus m_d}$$

for some integers m_d .

Proof. We proceed by induction on the width of the support of \mathcal{F} . This support is the set of integers i such that $H^i(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, where H^i is the cohomological functor associated with the usual t -structure. If this width is one, then \mathcal{F} lies (up to a shift) in the abelian category of H -equivariant sheaves on a point. Since H is connected, every such sheaf is a direct sum of constant sheaves. This completes the proof in this case.

Now assume that the width of \mathcal{F} is greater than one. Consider a triangle of the form

$$\tau_{\leq i} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau_{> i} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Choose i such that $\tau_{\leq i} \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau_{> i} \mathcal{F}$ have smaller support than \mathcal{F} , so by the inductive hypothesis this triangle is of the form

$$\bigoplus_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}, d \leq i} k[d]^{\oplus m_d} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}, d > i} k[d]^{\oplus m_d} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Since $H_H^*(\text{pt})$ is concentrated in even degrees, $\text{Hom}(\underline{k}, \underline{k}[e]) = 0$ for odd e . Therefore the morphism $\tau_{>i}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i}\mathcal{F}[1]$ is zero. Thus $\mathcal{F} \cong \tau_{\leq i}\mathcal{F} \oplus \tau_{>i}\mathcal{F}$, hence is of the desired form. \square

4. EVENNESS IMPLIES AFFINE HIGHEST WEIGHT

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 4.7. Throughout, the partial order on Π is the closure relation. We assume throughout this section that \mathcal{L} is even.

Let $\pi \in \Pi$. Write $o_\pi : \mathcal{O}_\pi \rightarrow X$ for the inclusion of the corresponding orbit. Define

$$\Delta(\pi) = \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, (o_\pi)_* \underline{k}_{\mathcal{O}_\pi}).$$

This is an $A(X, f)$ -module. We will show in Proposition 4.5 that this choice of notation is justified, in the sense that this agrees with the algebraically defined module with the same name. Until we make this identification, we reserve the notation $\Delta(\pi)$ for this geometrically defined module.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{L} be parity and \mathcal{P} be !-even on X . Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of a closed subset and j the inclusion of the open complement. Then $i_!i^!\mathcal{P}$ and $j_*j^*\mathcal{P}$ are also !-even, and there is a short exact sequence of $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, i_!i^!\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, j_*j^*\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It follows from [JMW, Proposition 2.6] that the long exact sequence obtained by applying $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, -)$ to the triangle $i_!i^!\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{+1}$ breaks up into a series of short exact sequences for parity vanishing reasons. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an open G -stable subset. Then the canonical algebra homomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(j^*\mathcal{L}, j^*\mathcal{L})$$

is surjective.

Proof. Take $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}$ in Lemma 4.1 and use the (j^*, j_*) adjunction. \square

A module M is said to have a *standard flag* if it has a finite flag of submodules $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$ such that each quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is isomorphic to $q^N \Delta(\pi)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi \in \Pi$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be !-even. Then $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ has a standard flag.*

Proof. Induct on the number of orbits in $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$. Let $Z \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$ be closed such that $V := \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus Z$ is a single orbit. Let $U = X \setminus Z$ and write $j : U \rightarrow X$ and $i : Z \rightarrow X$ for the inclusions. Then $i_!i^!\mathcal{F}$ and $j_*j^*\mathcal{F}$ are both !-even. So there is a short exact sequence of $A(X, f)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, i_!i^!\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, j_*j^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $o : V \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. We have $j_*j^*\mathcal{F} \cong o_*o^*\mathcal{F}$. Since $o^*\mathcal{F}$ is !-even and V is a single orbit, it is even and Lemma 3.4 implies that $o^*\mathcal{F}$ is a direct sum of even shifts of \underline{k}_V . Therefore $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, j_*j^*\mathcal{F})$ is a direct sum of even shifts of the standard module $\Delta(\pi_V)$. By inductive assumption, $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, i_!i^!\mathcal{F})$ has a standard filtration. Therefore $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ has a standard filtration. \square

Corollary 4.4. (SC1) *holds.*

Proof. The projective $P(\pi)$ is $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}_\pi)$ with \mathcal{P}_π parity. Therefore $P(\pi)$ has a standard filtration. Since $\text{supp}(\mathcal{P}_\pi) = \mathcal{O}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{P}_\pi|_{\mathcal{O}_\pi} \cong \underline{k}$, an examination of the proof of Lemma 4.3 shows that all sections of the filtration bar one are of the form $\Delta(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \prec \pi$, and the remaining section is $\Delta(\pi)$ and appears as a quotient. \square

Proposition 4.5. *The module $\Delta(\pi)$ is an algebraic standard module.*

Proof. To achieve this identification, we have to show that

- (1) Any simple subquotient of $\Delta(\pi)$ is of the form $L(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \succeq \pi$.
- (2) If $\sigma \succeq \pi$, then $\dim \text{Hom}(\Delta(\pi), L(\sigma)) = \delta_{\pi\sigma}$ and $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(\pi), L(\sigma)) = 0$.

For the first fact, suppose that $L(\sigma)$ is a subquotient of $\Delta(\pi)$. Then there is a nontrivial homomorphism from $P(\sigma)$ to $\Delta(\pi)$, hence the projection from \mathcal{L} to the summand \mathcal{P}_σ acts nontrivially. Therefore

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, (o_\pi)_* \underline{k}) \neq 0.$$

Unless $\sigma \succeq \pi$, there is an open G -invariant subset U of X containing \mathcal{O}_π such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \cap U = \emptyset$. Write $j: U \rightarrow X$ for the open embedding. Then $j^* \mathcal{P}_\sigma = 0$ so

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, j_* \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for any \mathcal{F} . Since $(o_\pi)_* \underline{k}$ is of the form $j_* \mathcal{F}$, this is a contradiction, proving the first part.

Now consider the short exact sequence from Corollary 4.4

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow K(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\pi) \rightarrow \Delta(\pi) \rightarrow 0$$

where $K(\pi)$ has a filtration with subquotients of the form $\Delta(\tau)$, for $\tau \prec \pi$.

Each $\Delta(\tau)$ is a quotient of $P(\tau)$, so has an irreducible head, namely $L(\tau)$. Therefore $\text{Hom}(K(\pi), L(\sigma)) = 0$ unless $\sigma \prec \pi$. Applying $\text{Hom}(-, L(\sigma))$ to the short exact sequence (4.1) then yields $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(\pi), L(\sigma)) = 0$ unless $\sigma \prec \pi$, as required. \square

Proposition 4.6. *The module $\Delta(\pi)$ satisfies (SC2) and (HWC).*

Proof. Let $j: U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an open G -stable subset such that \mathcal{O}_π is closed in U . The module $\Delta(\pi)$ is of the form $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, j_* \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ and the action of $\text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ factors through the quotient $\text{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{L})$. Therefore in checking conditions (SC2) and (HWC), it suffices to assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{O}_π is closed in X .

Now write Z for \mathcal{O}_π , $i: Z \rightarrow X$ for the inclusion and suppose Z is closed in X . Then by condition (\heartsuit), $\mathcal{P}_\pi \cong i_* \underline{k}_Z$. Therefore

$$\text{End}(P(\pi)) \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet(i_* \underline{k}_Z, i_* \underline{k}_Z) \cong H_G^*(Z).$$

By (\spadesuit_2), this is a polynomial algebra, proving (SC2).

Let $Y_Z = f^{-1}(Z)$. Since f is G -equivariant, the map $Y_Z \rightarrow Z$ is a fibration with fibre $f^{-1}(z)$, where z is some point in Z . Therefore there is a spectral sequence (the Leray spectral sequence applied to the dualising sheaf)

$$H_G^*(Z; H_*(f^{-1}(z))) \implies H_*^G(Y_Z).$$

Here we write H_* for Borel-Moore homology and H_*^G for G -equivariant Borel-Moore homology. Since f is even, this spectral sequence degenerates at the E_2 page. The E_∞ page is $P(\pi) \cong H_*^G(Y_Z)$. Therefore $P(\pi)$ is free over $\text{End}(P(\pi)) \cong H_G^*(Z)$ since the E_2 page is obviously free, showing condition (PSI). \square

We have thus proved

Theorem 4.7. *Assume (\spadesuit_1) , (\spadesuit_2) and (\heartsuit) . Suppose that f is even. Then $A(X, f)$ -mod is polynomial highest weight for the partial order given by orbit closures.*

As a consequence, these algebras satisfy the properties developed in [KL] and [F]. For example they have finite global dimension and a family of proper standard modules. If they are finite over their centre (which we conjecture is always the case and is known for quiver Hecke algebras [KL1, Corollary 2.10]), then they also admit a theory of tilting modules.

5. THE REVERSE IMPLICATION

The aim of this section is to prove our other main theorem, which is a partial converse to Theorem 4.7:

Theorem 5.1. *Assume (\spadesuit_1) , (\spadesuit_2) , (\heartsuit) and that $A(X, f)$ is even. Suppose that $A(X, f)$ is polynomial quasihereditary with respect to the partial order induced by the closure order between orbits. Then f is even.*

Remark 5.2. We only need to know that $A(X, f)$ is polynomial quasihereditary for some refinement of \prec to a total order.

Proof. We induct on the number of orbits of G on X . Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of a closed orbit. Let z be a point in Z . Let $Y_Z = f^{-1}(Z)$.

By condition (\heartsuit) , i_*k_Z occurs up to grading shift as a direct summand of f_*k_Y . Therefore the projective module corresponding to Z is

$$P_Z := \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, i_*k_Z) \cong H_*^G(Y_Z).$$

Since Z is minimal in the order \prec , this module is also the standard module Δ_Z associated to the orbit Z .

The endomorphism algebra of $\Delta_Z = P_Z$ is

$$B_Z := (\mathrm{End} \Delta_Z)^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(i_*k_Z, i_*k_Z) \cong H_G^*(Z).$$

Again consider the spectral sequence

$$H_G^*(Z; H_*(f^{-1}(z))) \implies H_*^G(Y_Z) = \Delta_Z.$$

The E_2 page has the structure of a free B_Z -module. Any nonzero differential in this spectral sequence at any page will cause the E_∞ page to fail to be free over B_Z . This contradicts the fact that Δ_Z is free over B_Z , as $A(X, f)$ is polynomial quasihereditary. Therefore this spectral sequence degenerates at the E_2 page.

Thus if $f^{-1}(z)$ fails to be even, then $P_Z = \Delta_Z$ also fails to be even. Since P_Z is a direct summand of $A(X, f)$ which is assumed to be even, this proves that $f^{-1}(z)$ is even.

Now consider $i^!\mathcal{L}$. By base change and smoothness of Y , we have $i^!\mathcal{L} = f_*\omega_{Y_Z}[-2 \dim Y]$. Since $f : Y_Z \rightarrow Z$ is a fibration whose fibre has no odd Borel-Moore homology, the object $i^!\mathcal{L}$ is an even object of $D_G^b(Z; k) \cong D_{G_z}^b(\mathrm{pt}; k)$. By Lemma 3.4, it is thus a direct sum of even shifts of k_Z .

Therefore $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, i_!i^!\mathcal{L})$ is a direct sum of even shifts of P_Z . We denote this module P .

Let $U = X \setminus Z$ and $j : U \rightarrow X$ be the open embedding. Let

$$Q_0 = \bigoplus_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(j^*\mathcal{L}, j^*\mathcal{L}[d]) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1 = \bigoplus_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \mathrm{Hom}(j^*\mathcal{L}, j^*\mathcal{L}[d]).$$

We apply $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, -)$ to the triangle

$$i_! i^! \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and obtain the following exact sequence of $A(X, f)$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow A(X, f) \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 2.4, there is a heredity ideal J associated to the orbit Z . We first aim to show that $Q_0 = A(X, f)/J$. To do this, we need to show

- (1) $\text{Hom}(Q_0, L(\pi_Z)) = 0$
- (2) $\text{Hom}(Q_0, L(\pi)) \neq 0$ for all $\pi \neq \pi_Z$.
- (3) $\text{Ext}^1(Q_0, L(\pi)) = 0$ for all $\pi \neq \pi_Z$.

For (1), suppose there is a homomorphism from Q_0 to $L(\pi_Z)$. Then there is an induced homomorphism from P_Z to Q_0 . Therefore there is a nonzero composition $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow i_* \underline{k}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{L}[d]$ for some d . But

$$\text{Hom}(i_* \underline{k}_Z, j_* j^* \mathcal{L}[d]) \cong \text{Hom}(j^* i_* \underline{k}_Z, j^* \mathcal{L}[d]) = 0$$

since $j^* i_* = 0$, a contradiction.

For (2), if $\pi \neq \pi_Z$, then $j^* \mathcal{P}_\pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P}_\pi)$ is a summand of Q_0 which is nonzero since it contains the identity morphism on $j^* \mathcal{P}_\pi$. There is a surjection from $P(\pi)$ to $\text{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P}_\pi)$. Therefore $\text{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P}_\pi)$ has $L(\pi)$ as a quotient, hence the same is true of Q_0 .

For (3), first note that since both P and $A(X, f)$ are projective $A(X, f)$ -modules, $\text{Ext}^1(Q_0, L(\pi))$ is a subquotient of $\text{Hom}(P, L(\pi))$ for all L . Since P is a direct sum of shifts of P_Z , we have $\text{Hom}(P, L(\pi)) = 0$ for all $\pi \neq \pi_Z$, as required.

Therefore Q_0 is the quotient by the heredity ideal J associated to Z . So there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0.$$

We have already shown that P is a direct sum of copies of P_Z . Since J is the heredity ideal, it is also a projective $A(X, f)$ -module, so this short exact sequence splits. Therefore Q_1 is a direct sum of copies of shifts of P_Z by the Krull-Schmidt property. If $Q_1 \neq 0$, then there must be a nonzero homomorphism from P_Z to Q_1 . But this is impossible by the same argument just used to prove $\text{Hom}(Q_0, L_Z) = 0$ above.

Now we consider $A(U, f) \cong Q_0$. Since we've just shown $A(U, f)$ is the quotient of $A(X, f)$ by the heredity ideal J , it is polynomial quasihereditary. Therefore by induction on the number of orbits we know that π has even fibres over U . We've also shown that π has even fibres over Z , completing the proof. \square

6. APPLICATION TO QUIVER HECKE ALGEBRAS

Let Q be a quiver of finite Dynkin type. This is a directed graph which becomes a finite type Dynkin diagram when the orientation is forgotten. Let I be the set of vertices of Q . Fix $\nu = \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i i \in \mathbb{N}I$. Define

$$E_\nu = \prod_{i \rightarrow j} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{\nu_i}, \mathbb{C}^{\nu_j})$$

$$G_\nu = \prod_{i \in I} GL_{\nu_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

When we need to make the dependence on Q explicit in the notation, we write $E_\nu(Q)$. The stack E_ν/G_ν is the moduli stack of representations of Q .

Let Y_ν be the variety of pairs (x, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) where $x \in E_\nu$ and $\mathcal{F}^\bullet = (0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}^{|\nu|} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}^{\nu_i})$ is a full flag in $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}^{\nu_i}$ satisfying the conditions $x\mathcal{F}^m \subset \mathcal{F}^m$ and $\mathcal{F}^m = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (\mathcal{F}^m \cap \mathbb{C}^{\nu_i})$ for all m . Let $f: Y_\nu \rightarrow E_\nu$ be the G_ν -equivariant morphism sending (x, \mathcal{F}) to x .

Definition 6.1. *The quiver Hecke algebra is the algebra*

$$R(\nu) := A(E_\nu, f).$$

Up to isomorphism, this algebra only depends on the underlying graph of Q , not its orientation. This fact follows from the presentation of $R(\nu)$ given in [M], together with the discussion on change of parameters in [KL2].

We remark that Y_ν is smooth, disconnected and not equidimensional. The grading we have put on $R(\nu)$ differs in a trivial way from the usual one, which corresponds to replacing \underline{k}_{Y_ν} by $IC(Y_\nu, k)$ in the definition of $R(\nu)$.

Forgetting the orientation on Q gives a Dynkin diagram of type ADE and hence a root system and Weyl group. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a corresponding set of simple roots, naturally indexed by I . We identify α_i with i and hence $\mathbb{N}I$ with the set of nonnegative linear combinations of simple roots. In this way we can talk meaningfully about roots as elements of $\mathbb{N}I$. Write $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ for the generators of the Weyl group and w_0 for the longest element.

Let $N = \ell(w_0)$ and $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ be a reduced expression of the long word. Define

$$(6.1) \quad \beta_k = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} \alpha_{i_k}.$$

It is well known that β_1, \dots, β_N is an enumeration of the positive roots. Also define

$$\gamma_k = -s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \omega_{i_k}^\vee.$$

where the ω_i^\vee are the fundamental coweights. The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 6.2. *If $k < l$ then $\langle \gamma_k, \beta_l \rangle \leq 0$. If $k \leq l$ then $\langle \gamma_k, \beta_l \rangle \geq 0$. For $k = l$, $\langle \gamma_k, \beta_l \rangle = 1$.*

A Kostant partition of $\nu \in \mathbb{N}I$ is a sequence of natural numbers (n_1, \dots, n_N) such that $\sum_i n_i \beta_i = \nu$. Let $KP(\nu)$ be the set of Kostant partitions of ν and $\text{kpf}(\nu)$ be the number of Kostant partitions of ν .

Define a partial order on the set of Kostant partitions of ν by $(n_1, \dots, n_N) \preceq (m_1, \dots, m_N)$ if

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{t=1}^k \langle \gamma_k, \beta_t \rangle n_t \leq \sum_{t=1}^k \langle \gamma_k, \beta_t \rangle m_t$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq N$.

Let M be a $R(m\beta_i)$ -module, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and β_i is as in (6.1). M is said to be semicuspidal if for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}I$ such that $\text{Res}_{\lambda, \mu} M \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{N}}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i\}$ and $\mu \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{N}}\{\beta_i, \dots, \beta_N\}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let M_1, \dots, M_N be semicuspidal representations of $R(m_1\beta_1), \dots, R(m_N\beta_N)$ respectively. Suppose that (n_1, \dots, n_N) is a Kostant partition such that*

$$\text{Res}_{n_1\beta_1, \dots, n_N\beta_N} (M_1 \circ \cdots \circ M_N) \neq 0.$$

Then $(n_1, \dots, n_N) \preceq (m_1, \dots, m_N)$.

Proof. Consider the Mackey filtration for

$$\text{Res}_{n_1\beta_1+\dots+n_k\beta_k, n_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}+\dots+n_N\beta_N} M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_N.$$

A nonzero subquotient has $m_i\beta_i = x_i + y_i$ and $n_1\beta_1 + \dots + n_k\beta_k = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$. If $i \leq k$ then as M_i is semicuspidal, y_i is a sum of roots less than or equal to β_k , hence $\langle \gamma_k, y_i \rangle \geq 0$. If $i > k$ then again by semicuspidality, x_i is a sum of roots greater than β_{k+1} , hence $\langle \gamma_k, x_i \rangle \leq 0$ for such i .

Then

$$\langle \gamma_k, \sum_{i=1}^k m_i\beta_i \rangle - \langle \gamma_k, \sum_{i=1}^k n_i\beta_i \rangle = \langle \gamma_k, y_1 + \dots + y_k \rangle - \langle \gamma_k, x_{k+1} + \dots + x_N \rangle \geq 0$$

for all k , as required. \square

Lemma 6.3 is precisely what is needed to run the arguments of [Mc1] and [BKM] for the partial order \prec rather than the bilexicographical order considered in those papers. We thus have the following corollary:

Corollary 6.4. *The results of [Mc1] and [BKM], as well as Theorem 6.12 below, are valid with this more refined partial order replacing the bilexicographical order in those papers.*

Two reduced expressions are said to be in the same commutation class if they can be reached from each other by only applying the commuting braid relations $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 6.5. *If \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{j} are two reduced expressions in the same commutation class, then the corresponding partial orders defined by (6.2) on the set of Kostant partitions are the same.*

As a Corollary, we recover the following c.f. [OS, Theorem 6.8] and [O, Theorem 5.10].

Corollary 6.6. *Two reduced expressions in the same commutation class induce the same polynomial highest weight structure on the category of representations of a quiver Hecke algebra.*

Remark 6.7. All of the results between Lemma 6.2 and Corollary 6.6 inclusive, together with Theorem 6.12 below, are purely algebraic, so hold for all finite type quiver Hecke algebras, not just the geometric ones considered in this paper.

Theorem 6.8 (Gabriel's Theorem, [G]). *The function $M \mapsto \dim M$ induces a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of Q and the set Φ^+ of positive roots.*

For each $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, let $M(\alpha)$ be the indecomposable representation of Q of dimension α .

A reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_l}$ is said to be *adapted* to Q if for each $1 \leq k \leq l$, the vertex i_k is a sink of the quiver $\sigma_{i_{k-1}} \dots \sigma_{i_2} \sigma_{i_1} Q$. Here σ_i is the operation on a quiver which reverses the direction of all arrows incident to the vertex i . There exists a reduced expression of w_0 adapted to Q [L2, Proposition 4.12(b)].

Theorem 6.9. [Ri, p59] *Suppose the reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$ is adapted to Q . Then*

$$\dim \text{Hom}(M(\beta_k), M(\beta_l)) = \max(\langle \gamma_k, \beta_l \rangle, 0).$$

Given a Kostant partition $\lambda = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$, define

$$M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N M(\beta_i)^{\oplus n_i}.$$

There is a bijection between $KP(\nu)$ and the set of G_ν -orbits on E_ν where the Kostant partition λ is sent to the orbit of modules isomorphic to $M(\lambda)$.

Theorem 6.10. [Ba] *Suppose that the reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ is adapted to Q and consider the corresponding partial order \prec on the set of Kostant partitions $KP(\nu)$, equivalently on the set of G_ν -orbits on E_ν . Then the partial order \prec on $KP(\nu)$ describes the closure order on the set of G_ν -orbits on E_ν .*

Proof. By [Bo], the orbit closure partial order is given by $M \preceq N$ if and only if $\dim \text{Hom}(M, X) \leq \dim \text{Hom}(N, X)$ for all X . The result now follows from Theorem 6.9. \square

Theorem 6.11. *The G_ν -equivariant map $f: Fl_\nu \rightarrow E_\nu$ satisfies the conditions $(\spadesuit)_1$, $(\spadesuit)_2$ and (\heartsuit) , and $A(X, f)$ is even.*

Proof. Condition $(\spadesuit)_1$ is Gabriel's Theorem, Theorem 6.8. For $(\spadesuit)_2$, let $\lambda = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ be a Kostant partition. The stabiliser of a point in the orbit corresponding to λ is the group of units $\text{End}(M(\lambda))^\times$. By Theorem 6.9 and Lemma 6.2, there is a surjection

$$\text{End}(M(\lambda))^\times \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^N \text{End}(M(\alpha_i)^{\oplus n_i})^\times$$

whose kernel is unipotent. Since $\text{End}(M(\alpha)) \cong k$ for all roots α , this quotient group is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^N GL_{n_i}$. It is well-known that for G a product of general linear groups, $H_G^*(\text{pt}; k)$ is concentrated in even degrees. An extension by a unipotent group does not change the equivariant cohomology of a point, completing the proof of $(\spadesuit)_2$.

The evenness of $A(X, f)$ is due to Makisimau [M, Theorem 1.1(1)]. Maksimau's theorem is actually stronger than what we need, which can be deduced by noticing that the argument in [Ro, §5.3.6] works in all characteristics.

We now show (\heartsuit) . Let \mathcal{O} be an orbit. By [Re, Theorem 2.2], there is a connected component Y_ν^i of Y_ν , together with maps

$$Y_\nu^i \xrightarrow{h} Z \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathcal{O}}$$

where g is a resolution of singularities and h is a fibration with fibre a product of flag varieties. Therefore $h_* \underline{k}$ is a direct sum of copies of shifts of the constant sheaf on Z . Since g is a resolution, it is an isomorphism over \mathcal{O} , so $g_* \underline{k}_Z$ restricts to the constant sheaf on \mathcal{O} . Therefore $(h \circ g)_* \underline{k}$ has a summand supported on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and restricting to the constant sheaf on \mathcal{O} . As Y_ν^i is a connected component of Y_ν , the same is true for $f_* \underline{k}_{Y_\nu}$.

By the main theorem of [KL1], the number of indecomposable projective $R(\nu)$ -modules is equal to $\text{kpf}(\nu)$. Thus the number of indecomposable summands of $f_* \underline{k}_{Y_\nu}$ up to isomorphism and grading shift is also equal to $\text{kpf}(\nu)$. The number of G_ν -orbits on E_ν is also $\text{kpf}(\nu)$. The previous paragraph shows that our desired map between indecomposable direct summands and orbits is surjective. Since the two sets have the same cardinality, this map is bijective, hence (\heartsuit) holds. \square

Theorem 6.12. *Let $R(\nu)$ be a quiver Hecke algebra of finite type. Then the category of $R(\nu)$ -modules is polynomial highest weight for the partial order defined by 6.2 (equivalently $R(\nu)$ is polynomial quasihereditary for this order).*

Proof. First we show that the standard modules from [BKM] are the same as in this paper. For now, we use $\Delta(\pi)$ to denote the standard modules defined in [BKM, §3]. To achieve this identification, we have to show that

- (1) Any simple subquotient of $\Delta(\pi)$ is of the form $L(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \succeq \pi$.
- (2) If $\sigma \succeq \pi$, then $\dim \text{Hom}(\Delta(\pi), L(\sigma)) = \delta_{\pi\sigma}$ and $\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(\pi), L(\sigma)) = 0$.

For (1), by [BKM, Theorem 3.11], it suffices to consider composition factors $L(\sigma)$ of the proper standard modules, denoted $\overline{\Delta}(\pi)$ there. The desired triangularity result is now [Mc1, Theorem 3.1(5)], remembering Corollary 6.4.

For (2), it follows in a standard manner from [BKM, Theorem 3.12(2)] and the upper-triangularity result we have just proved.

Therefore the standard modules in [BKM] are the same as in this paper. We now proceed to check (SC1), (SC2) and (HWC).

Condition (SC1), that a projective has a standard flag, is [BKM, Corollary 3.14].

For the proof of (SC2), let $\lambda = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ be a Kostant partition. The standard module decomposes as an induced product

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta(\beta_1)^{(n_1)} \circ \dots \circ \Delta(\beta_N)^{(n_N)}.$$

Now consider the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(\Delta(\lambda)) &\cong \text{Hom}(\Delta(\beta_1)^{(n_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta(\beta_N)^{(n_N)}, \text{Res}_{n_1\beta_1, \dots, n_N\beta_N} \Delta(\lambda)) \\ &\cong \text{End}(\Delta(\beta_1)^{(n_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta(\beta_N)^{(n_N)}) \\ &\cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \text{End}(\Delta(\beta_i)^{(n_i)}) \\ &\cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^n k[x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}]^{S_{n_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism is the induction-restriction adjunction, the second is the same proof as [Mc1, Lemma 3.3] or better [Mc2, Lemma 8.6]. The final isomorphism is the content of [BKM, §3.2]. Since the ring of symmetric functions is a polynomial algebra, we have proved (SC2).

Now we turn our attention to proving (HWC) and first consider the case where the support of λ is a single root α , hence ν is of the form $n\alpha$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an algebra $S(n\alpha)$ which is the quotient of $R(n\alpha)$ such that the category of $S(n\alpha)$ -modules is the same as the Serre subcategory generated by the simple module $L(\lambda)$. The module $\Delta(\lambda)$ is an indecomposable projective module over $S(n\alpha)$. The commutative endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(\Delta(\lambda))$ is isomorphic to the centre of $S(n\alpha)$ and thus $\Delta(\lambda)$ is free and of finite rank over its endomorphism algebra.

Now for general $\lambda = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$, we have

$$\Delta(\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_w \psi_w \Delta(\beta_1)^{(n_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta(\beta_n)^{(n_N)}$$

as vector spaces, where w runs over a set of minimal length coset representatives and ψ_w is a collection of elements in $R(\nu)$.

For $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_N \in \text{End}(\Delta(\lambda)) \cong \otimes_i \text{End}(\Delta(\beta_i)^{(n_i)})$, we have

$$\phi(\psi_w(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)) = \psi_w \phi_1(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n(v_n)$$

Since each $\Delta(\beta_i)^{(n_i)}$ has just been shown to be finite free over $\text{End}(\Delta(\beta_i)^{(n_i)})$, each summand $\psi_w \Delta(\beta_1)^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(\beta_n)^{(n_n)}$ is finite free over $\otimes_i \text{End}(\Delta(\beta_i)^{(n_i)}) \cong \text{End}(\Delta(\lambda))$, and hence the same is true for $\Delta(\lambda)$, as required. \square

We are now able to apply Theorem 5.1 to deduce the following corollary. It has a direct proof in type A due to Maksimau [M, Corollary 3.36] and is new in types D and E.

Corollary 6.13. *The morphism $f : Y_\nu \longrightarrow E_\nu$ is even.*

An example of an immediate consequence of this Corollary is that [Wi, Theorem 3.7] is now known to hold in all finite ADE types.

7. REFLECTION FUNCTORS

We now generalise Kato's theory of reflection functors for quiver Hecke algebras developed in [K2] from characteristic zero to all characteristics. These are functors which categorify Lusztig's action of the braid group on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by algebra automorphisms T_i . An alternative algebraic construction of these functors is forthcoming in [Mc4].

Let $i \in I$. Choose an orientation of Q such that i is a sink. Let Q^σ be the quiver obtained from Q by reversing the direction of all arrows incident to i . Given a representation V of either Q or Q^σ , write V_j for the vector space placed at the vertex j .

Let $U_i(\nu)$ be the subset of $E_\nu(Q)$ consisting of modules for which the map

$$\bigoplus_{j \rightarrow i} V_j \xrightarrow{\phi} V_i$$

is surjective.

Let ${}_i U(\nu)$ be the subset of $E_\nu(Q^\sigma)$ consisting of modules for which the map

$$V_i \xrightarrow{\psi} \bigoplus_{i \rightarrow j} V_j$$

is injective.

Theorem 7.1. [BGP] *The BGP reflection functor $T_i : Q\text{-mod} \longrightarrow Q^\sigma\text{-mod}$ induces an equivalence between the full subcategory of $Q\text{-mod}$ where ϕ is surjective, and the full subcategory of ${}^\sigma Q\text{-mod}$ where ψ is injective.*

Corollary 7.2. *The quotient stacks $U_i(\nu)/G_\nu$ and ${}_i U(s_i\nu)/G_{s_i\nu}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. These are the moduli stacks of objects in the categories shown to be equivalent in the above theorem. \square

Lemma 7.3. *The map $A(E_\nu(Q), f) \rightarrow A(U_i(\nu), f)$ is surjective.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Corollaries 6.13 and 4.2. \square

Proposition 7.4. *The inclusion $A(U_i(\nu), f)\text{-mod} \subset R(\nu)\text{-mod}$ induces the inclusion $\ker(r_i) \subset \mathbf{f}$ upon passing to Grothendieck groups.*

Proof. Let $j : U_i(\nu) \rightarrow E_\nu$ be the inclusion. It is an open immersion. Let z be the inclusion of the closed complement. If \mathcal{P} is an indecomposable parity sheaf, then $j^*\mathcal{P}$ is either indecomposable or zero, by [JM^W, Proposition 2.11]. Therefore the collection of indecomposable parity sheaves on $U_i(\nu)$ is exactly the restrictions of those indecomposable parity sheaves on E_ν which have a nonzero restriction.

Let \mathcal{P} be such an indecomposable parity sheaf and consider the short exact sequence from Lemma 4.1:

$$(7.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, z_1 z^! \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We will show that this short exact sequence categorifies the decomposition $\mathbf{f} \cong \ker(r_i) \oplus \mathbf{f}\theta_i$.

Since \mathcal{P} is parity, $z_1 z^! \mathcal{P}$ is !-parity. Therefore by Lemma 4.3, $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, z_1 z^! \mathcal{P})$ has a standard flag. Each standard module appearing in this flag is supported on $E_\nu \setminus U_i(\nu)$, thus corresponds to a Kostant partition where $n_N \neq 0$. Therefore the standard module is of the form $\Delta' \circ R(i)$ (up to multiplicity). Therefore $[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, z_1 z^! \mathcal{P})]$ lies in $\mathbf{f}\theta_i$.

We now show that $[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P})]$ lies in $\ker(r_i)$. Since $A(U_i(\nu), f)$ -mod is a Serre subcategory of $A(E_\nu, f)$, it suffices to show that a simple $R(\nu)$ -module L satisfies $\mathrm{Res}_{i, \nu-i} L = 0$ if and only if L is a module for $A(U_i(\nu), f)$.

Suppose that L is simple for $A(U_i(\nu), f)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{i, \nu-i} L \neq 0$. Then there exists a projective $R(\nu - i)$ -module Q together with a nonzero map from $R(i) \otimes Q$ to $\mathrm{Res}_{i, \nu-i} L$ and hence by adjunction a nonzero map from $R(i) \circ Q$ to L .

The projective module $R(i) \circ Q$ is of the form $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})$ for some \mathcal{P} . As \mathcal{P} is induced, its support is contained in the set of modules which have S_i as a quotient, where S_i is the simple representation of Q at the vertex i . Since i is a sink, S_i is a projective representation of Q . Therefore $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{P})$ is contained in the set of modules of the form $S_i \oplus X$. Letting Z be the complement of $U_i(\nu)$ in E_ν , we see that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{P}) \subset Z$. Now as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, there cannot be any nonzero homomorphism from $R(i) \circ Q$ to L (as $j^* z_* = 0$). This is a contradiction and hence we've shown that $[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P})]$ lies in $\ker(r_i)$.

Turning our attention back to the short exact sequence (7.1), we have the identity in \mathbf{f}

$$[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})] = [\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P})] + [\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, z_1 z^! \mathcal{P})].$$

We have shown that $[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P})] \in \ker(r_i)$ and $[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, z_1 z^! \mathcal{P})] \in \mathbf{f}\theta_i$. The short exact sequence (7.1) is thus a categorification of the direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{f} \cong \ker(r_i) \oplus \mathbf{f}\theta_i$.

Let τ be the projection from \mathbf{f} to $\ker(r_i)$. We've shown that $\tau([\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})]) = [\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P})]$. Since the elements of the form $\tau([\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})])$ span $\ker(r_i)$ and those of the form $[\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(j^* \mathcal{L}, j^* \mathcal{P})]$ span $K_0(A(U_i(\nu), f))$, we've shown the desired isomorphism $K_0(A(U_i(\nu), f)) \cong \ker(r_i)$ in a way which is compatible with the inclusion into \mathbf{f} . \square

Theorem 7.5. *The algebras $A(U_i(\nu), f)$ and $A({}_i U(s_i \nu), f)$ are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P}_i be the direct sum of all indecomposable parity sheaves (up to shift) on $U_i(\nu)/G_\nu$. Let ${}_i \mathcal{P}$ be the direct sum of all indecomposable parity sheaves (up to shift) on ${}_i U(s_i \nu)/G_{s_i \nu}$. Normalise \mathcal{P}_i and ${}_i \mathcal{P}$ so that they are Verdier self-dual, this is possible because Verdier duality preserves the set of parity sheaves. By

Corollary 7.2, there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_i) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet({}_i\mathcal{P}, {}_i\mathcal{P}).$$

The indecomposable summands of $f_*k_{Y_i} \in D_c^b(U_i(\nu))$ up to shift comprise the set of all indecomposable parity sheaves on $U_i(\nu)$ up to shift. Therefore the algebras $A(U_i(\nu), f)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_i)$ are Morita equivalent. (An explicit bimodule giving this Morita equivalence is $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(f_*k_{Y_i}, \mathcal{P}_i)$, where $Y_i = f^{-1}(U_i(\nu)) \subset Y_\nu$.)

Similarly $A({}_iU(s_i\nu), f)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet({}_i\mathcal{P}, {}_i\mathcal{P})$ are Morita equivalent. This proves the theorem. \square

Definition 7.6. Let \mathcal{T}_i denote the equivalence from $A(U_i(\nu), f)$ -mod to $A({}_iU(s_i\nu), f)$ -mod constructed in Theorem 7.5.

We now entertain a discussion as to whether or not this functor \mathcal{T}_i is monoidal, in the sense that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_i(M \circ N) \cong \mathcal{T}_i(M) \circ \mathcal{T}_i(N)$ for all modules $M, N \in A(U_i, f)$ -mod. If the algebra $A(X, f)$ is formal then since $A(X, f)$ is of finite global dimension, every finitely generated module is of the form $M \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in D_G^b(X; k)$. Then monoidality of \mathcal{T}_i follows from the corresponding statement at the sheaf level, which is easy to check. This check appears in [Mc3], from which monoidality is deduced in characteristic zero via the formality of $A(X, f)$. Unfortunately, formality of $A(X, f)$ is currently unknown when k has positive characteristic. An alternative approach to show \mathcal{T}_i is monoidal which works in all characteristics is to use the arguments of [F, Theorem 3.9] to show that the functor \mathcal{T}_i constructed here is naturally isomorphic to the functor \mathcal{T}_i constructed in [Mc4]. Since the \mathcal{T}_i from [Mc4] is monoidal, so is the \mathcal{T}_i considered here.

Theorem 7.7. The equivalence of categories $\mathcal{T}_i : A(U_i(\nu), f)$ -mod $\longrightarrow A({}_iU(s_i\nu), f)$ -mod induces the isomorphism $T_i : \ker(r_i) \longrightarrow \ker({}_i r)$ at the level of Grothendieck groups.

Proof. The classes of the standard modules comprise a basis for the Grothendieck groups. Since the standard modules are intrinsic to the polynomial highest weight category with its partial order, \mathcal{T}_i sends a standard module to a standard module.

By [BKM, §3], the classes of the standard modules are elements of the PBW basis. The isomorphism $T_i : \ker(r_i) \longrightarrow \ker({}_i r)$ maps one PBW basis to the other. This completes the proof up to powers of q and a permutation. By ensuring that our Morita equivalences always use the appropriate self-dual sheaves, we ensure that there are no powers of q to deal with. To confirm there is no permutation, we can consider the geometric description of standard modules to see that each standard module gets sent to the appropriate standard module under \mathcal{T}_i . \square

REFERENCES

- [Ba] Pierre Baumann, The canonical basis and the quantum Frobenius morphism. [arXiv:1201.0303](#) 11
- [BGP] Bernstein, Gel'fand and Ponomarev, Coxeter functors, and Gabriel's theorem. *Usp'hi Mat. Nauk*, 28(2(170)):19–33, 1973. 13
- [BL] Joseph Bernstein and Valery Lunts. *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, volume 1578 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. 3
- [Bo] Klaus Bongartz, On degenerations and extensions of finite dimensional modules. *Adv. Math.* **121** (1996), no 2, 245–287. 11
- [BKM] Jon Brundan, Alexander Kleshchev and Peter J. McNamara, Homological Properties of Finite Type Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier Algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), no. 7, 1353–1404. [arXiv:1210.6900](#) 2, 10, 12, 15

- [CPS] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott. Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 391:85–99, 1988. [3](#)
- [F] Ryo Fujita, Tilting modules of affine quasi-hereditary algebras. [arXiv:1610.02621.7, 15](#)
- [G] Peter Gabriel. Unzerlegbare Darstellungen. I. *Manuscripta Math.*, 6:71–103; correction, *ibid.* 6 (1972), 309, 1972. [10](#)
- [JMW] Daniel Juteau, Carl Mautner, and Geordie Williamson. Parity sheaves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):1169–1212, 2014. [arXiv:0906.2994 4, 5, 14](#)
- [K1] Syu Kato, An algebraic study of extension algebras, to appear, *Amer. J. Math.* [arXiv:1207.4640 1](#)
- [K2] Syu Kato. Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(3):619–663, 2014. [arXiv:1203.5254 1, 13](#)
- [KL1] Mikhail Khovanov and Aaron D. Lauda. A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I. *Represent. Theory*, 13:309–347, 2009. [arXiv:0803.4121 7, 11](#)
- [KL2] Mikhail Khovanov and Aaron D. Lauda. A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(5):2685–2700, 2011. [arXiv:0804.2080 9](#)
- [K1] Alexander S. Kleshchev. Affine highest weight categories and affine quasihereditary algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 110(4):841–882, 2015. [arXiv:1405.3328 2, 3, 7](#)
- [L1] George Lusztig. Cuspidal local systems and graded Hecke algebras. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (67):145–202, 1988. [1](#)
- [L2] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):447–498, 1990. [10](#)
- [M] Ruslan Maksimau. Canonical basis, KLR algebras and parity sheaves. *J. Algebra*, 422:563–610 [arXiv:1301.6261, 2015. arXiv:1301.6261 9, 11, 13](#)
- [Mc1] Peter J. McNamara. Finite dimensional representations of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras I: Finite type. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 707:103–124, 2015. [arXiv:1207.5860 10, 12](#)
- [Mc2] Peter J. McNamara, Representations of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras III: Symmetric Affine Type, to appear, *Math Z.* [arXiv:1407.7304 12](#)
- [Mc3] Peter J. McNamara, Monoidality of Kato’s reflection functors. [arXiv:1712.00173. 15](#)
- [Mc4] Peter J. McNamara, On a braid group action. In preparation. [13, 15](#)
- [OS] Se-Jin Oh and Uhi Rinn Suh, Combinatorial Auslander-Reiten quivers and reduced expressions. [arXiv:1509.04820 10](#)
- [O] Se-Jin Oh, Auslander-Reiten quiver and representation theories related to KLR-type Schur-Weyl duality. [arXiv:1509.04949 10](#)
- [Re] Markus Reineke. Quivers, desingularizations and canonical bases. In *Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000)*, volume 210 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 325–344. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003. [arXiv:math/0104284 11](#)
- [Ri] Claus Michael Ringel. PBW-bases of quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 470:51–88, 1996. [10](#)
- [Ro] Raphaël Rouquier. Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras. *Algebra Colloq.*, 19(2):359–410, 2012. [arXiv:1112.3619 11](#)
- [We] Ben Webster, Weighted Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. [arXiv:1209.2463 3](#)
- [Wi] Geordie Williamson. On an analogue of the James conjecture. *Represent. Theory*, 18:15–27, 2014. [arXiv:1212.0794 13](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, QLD, AUSTRALIA.
E-mail address: mathsp@petermc.net